

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/038,793	12/31/2001		Dwight D. Riley	200302340-1	2968	
22879	7590	05/12/2006		EXAMINER		
		RD COMPANY	NGUYEN, BRIAN D			
		E. HARMONY R PERTY ADMINIS	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		80527-2400	2616			

DATE MAILED: 05/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>							
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	.0				
Office Action Summary		10/038,793	RILEY, DWIGHT D.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Brian D. Nguyen	2616					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	-				
WHI( - Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Does not seem to be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communical NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status		•						
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 F	ebruary 2006.						
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	tion of Claims		•					
4)⊠	I)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12,14 and 16-22</u> is/are pending in the application.							
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠	Claim(s) 10-12,14 and 16-18 is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,8,9,19 and 21</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠	Claim(s) 2-7,20 and 22 is/are objected to.	•						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Applicat	tion Papers							
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
	)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 December 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is o	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	1(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152					
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	nriority under 35 U.S.C. & 119/	(a)-(d) or (f)					
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	· phonty under 05 0.0.0. § 110(	a)-(a) or (i).					
-,	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio							
	application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* ;	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ved.					
	4. ·							
Attachmer	nt(s)							
1) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa						
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail	Date  Patent Application (PTO-152)					
	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	acont Application (F10-102)					
				•				

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

1. Claims 1 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 1, line 6, "a primary port" seems to refer back to "a primary port" in line 2. If this is true, it is suggested to change "a primary port" to --the primary port--.

Claim 5, line 3, "the plurality of end-device secondary ports" seems to refer back to "a plurality of end-device ports" in line 3 of claim 1. If this is true, it is suggested to change "a plurality of end-device ports" in claim 1 to --a plurality of end-device secondary ports--.

Claim 7, line 6, it is suggested to insert --the-- before "further non-bridge transactions".

Claim 22 should be dependent on claim 20 because the terms "snooped portion" and target address" are mentioned in claim 20. "a shadow register" in line 2 should also be changed to --the shadow register--. The examiner assumes claim 22 depends on claim 20 for this Office Action.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The limitation: "... based on the absence of a bridge..." was not described in the specification.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Application/Control Number: 10/038,793

Art Unit: 2616

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1, 8, 9, 19, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ajanovic et al (2003/0115391).

Regarding claim 1, Ajanovic discloses a method of switching transactions on an interconnect switch (switch in figure 1), the interconnect switch having a primary port connected to a primary interconnect (port connects to host bridge 104), a first secondary port connected to a bridge (legacy bridge 116), and a plurality of end-device ports, each connectable to one of a plurality of end devices (end-points), the method comprising the steps of identifying a transaction from a primary port (port connect to host bridge) as a bridge transaction (transactions to legacy bridge) or a non-bridge transaction (transaction to end-points); routing the bridge transaction to the bridge through the first secondary port (port connects the switch to the legacy bridge); routing the non-bridge transaction to at least one of the plurality of end device ports (port connects the switch to the end-points).

Regarding claims 8 and 9, Ajanovic discloses peer-to-peer transactions, wherein the transaction is a downstream transaction (see paragraph 0070).

Regarding claim 19, Ajanovic discloses a system (figure 1), comprising: a processor (102), a memory coupled to the processor (paragraph 0068 on page 3); an interconnect bus coupled to the processor, the interconnect bus comprising: a primary bus segment coupled to the

Application/Control Number: 10/038,793 Page 4

Art Unit: 2616

processor (see figure 1); a switch having a primary side with a primary port coupled to the primary bus segment and a secondary side with a plurality of secondary ports (see 4 ports of the switch in figure 1), the switch comprising a routing engine (the routing engine is within the switch, not shown in figure 1) configured to selectively transmit a transaction from the primary port to at least one secondary-port of the plurality of secondary ports based on the absence of a bridge downstream from the at least one secondary port (see paragraph 0070 where Ajanovic teaches of routing a transaction from any port to any other ports).

Regarding claim 21, Ajanovic further discloses a second bus segment (bus connects to the legacy bridge) coupled to one of the plurality of secondary ports and an end device (endpoints) coupled to another one of the secondary ports.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 10-12, 14, and 16-18 are allowed.
- 6. Claims 2-7, 20, and 22 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the objection(s) and/or the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 1<sup>st</sup> and/or 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 8, 9, 19, and 21 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/038,793

Art Unit: 2616

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this
Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a).
Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian D. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3084. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-6:00 Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wellington Chin can be reached on (571) 272-3134. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, on access to the Private PAIR system, on the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

5/10/06

BRIAN NGUYEN
PRIMARY FYAMINER